

Comment on “Experimental Nonlocality Proof of Quantum Teleportation and Entanglement Swapping”

Eric Dennis*
Chemistry Dept.
Princeton University
Princeton, NJ 08544

February 1, 2008

In a recent letter, Jennewein *et al.* [1] reported an experiment demonstrating entanglement swapping among two pairs (0,1 and 2,3) of down-converted photons. They accomplished this by performing a Bell measurement on photons 1 and 2, and they verified the effect with regular polarization measurements on photons 0 and 3. By selecting data from runs in which 1,2 are measured to be in the Bell state $|\psi^-\rangle$, they find that the measurement results for 0,3 violate a Bell (CHSH) inequality, and they conclude that 0,3 have exhibited quantum nonlocality.

A peculiarity is noted for the case in which the Bell measurement on 1,2 is performed only *after* 0,3 have their polarizations measured. In this case, Jennewein *et al.* say that the measurements on 0 and 3 “indicate... that photons 0 and 3 were entangled.”

But at the time 0 and 3 were measured, they were not entangled, because they started out unentangled and no entangling operation had been performed up to that point. They cannot be entangled retroactively. We are thus lead to conclude that photons 0 and 3 have violated a Bell inequality while not even being entangled with each other!

In fact, while the data for 0 and 3 does technically violate a Bell inequality in this case, it is due not to quantum nonlocality between 0 and 3, but rather to the post-selection of 0,3 data according to whether or not 1,2 are measured to be in the Bell state $|\psi^-\rangle$.

As far as Bell inequalities are concerned, this kind of post-selection is the same as enhancing observed correlations by manually discarding selected runs after comparing the 0,3 polarization records. In these experiments, the measurement results for photons 1 and 2 have simply been used as markers for such runs. Indeed 0 was entangled with 1, and 2 with 3, but this entanglement has nothing to do with the Bell inequality violations involving 0 and 3.

On the other hand, in the experiments where 1 and 2 are measured first, 0 and 3 are in fact projected into an entangled state and the resulting Bell inequality violation does indicate real quantum nonlocality.

References

- [1] T. Jennewein, G. Weihs, J. Pan, A. Zeilinger, Phys. Rev. Lett. 88, 017903 (2002), quant-ph/0201134

*edennis@princeton.edu